

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

AIRIGAN SOLUTIONS, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

SNOWQI et al,

Defendants.

SEALED

23cv0232

ELECTRONICALLY FILED

ORDER OF COURT

Presently before this Court is Plaintiff's *Ex Parte* Motion for Reconsideration. ECF 19. As an initial matter, the Court notes that Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration is styled as a "Motion to Reduce Bond and Reconsider Motion for Expedited Discovery." The Plaintiff linked its Motion for Reconsideration to the Court's Order entered at ECF 17 which only denied Plaintiff's initial request for expedited discovery. In this singular motion, Plaintiff seeks two different forms of relief of from two different Orders this Court previously entered.

From a procedural standpoint, Plaintiff should have filed two separate motions, one for reconsideration of the denial of its expedited discovery request (see the Court's Order at ECF 17), and one for a reduction in bond amount set by this Court in its Order granting (in part) Plaintiff's request for a Temporary Restraining Order (see the Court's Order at ECF 16 setting bond at \$250,000). Plaintiff is encouraged to follow procedural guidelines in the future to help the Court develop a clear a concise record on the docket.

The Court will now turn to the two matters raised by Plaintiff. First, the Court will grant Plaintiff's request for a reduction in bond. Second, the Court will deny the Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration for expedited discovery without prejudice to re-raise the issue during the preliminary injunction hearing set for February 28, 2023 at 1:30 pm.

AND NOW this 25th day of February, 2023, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that the bond shall be reduced to \$5,000.00. Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration (ECF 19) is HEREBY DENIED in all other respects.

s/ Arthur J. Schwab
Arthur J. Schwab
United States District Court Judge