

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

NIFTY HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

MAPLE LEAVES, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Civil Action No.

FILED UNDER SEAL

[PROPOSED] 1) TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER; 2) ORDER RESTRAINING ASSETS AND MERCHANT STOREFRONTS; 3) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION SHOULD NOT ISSUE; AND 4) ORDER AUTHORIZING EXPEDITED DISCOVERY

This matter is before the Court upon Plaintiff's *Ex Parte* Application for the following: 1) a temporary restraining order; 2) an order restraining assets and Merchant Storefronts (as defined *infra*); 3) an order to show cause why a preliminary injunction should not issue; and 4) an order authorizing expedited discovery against the Defendants identified on **Schedule "A"** to the Complaint and attached hereto (collectively, the "Defendants"). The Court has considered the Application, the evidence in the record, and the applicable law.

Specifically, Plaintiff has obtained evidence clearly demonstrating that Defendants are using without authorization Plaintiff's copyrighted Pig Face Sculpture ("Plaintiff's Work"), while promoting, selling, offering for sale and distributing knock-offs in a willful attempt to pass off their knock-off products as genuine versions of Plaintiff's Products. Defendants' sale, distribution, and advertising of the Knock-off Products are highly likely to cause consumers to believe that Defendants are offering Plaintiff's genuine product when in fact they are not. Defendants accomplish their illegal sales through the use of, at least one of the Internet based e-

commerce stores operated by at least one of Amazon.com, eBay.com, Walmart.com, Wish.com and AliExpress.com Internet marketplace platforms.

Based on this evidence, Plaintiff's Complaint alleges claims for federal copyright infringement pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 501(a).

FACTUAL FINDINGS & CONCLUSION OF LAW

1. Plaintiff, Nifty Home Products, Inc. ("Nifty"), is likely to prevail on its copyright claims at trial.

2. Katherine Waymire founded Talisman Designs in 2002 making handmade wine accessories and packaging out of her home. Since then, Talisman Designs has created and innovated all of its own products and packaging. Today, Talisman is a well-known national brand in the gift and houseware industries. It is recognized for its quality, inventions, creative packaging and whimsical characters. Talisman innovates across four main categories – Baking, Prep Tools, Wood/Collections and Fun & Funky. Each category has a different, distinct look. Talisman's customers immediately recognize their products because of this. For instance, in the Fun & Funky line of products, most of the products have a distinct character that is personified by a face or character. Talisman's customers often immediately recognize their new products as being sold by them.

3. Plaintiff acquired Talisman and Talisman's top selling product called the Bacon Bin® bacon grease container ("Plaintiff's Product") which was conceived by Waymire.¹ The Plaintiff's Product is designed to both strain and then store bacon grease that is poured into it while hot (up to 500 degrees Fahrenheit). The Plaintiff's Product includes a distinct tin can shape

¹ Waymire was the former president and owner of Talisman Designs LLC. Talisman brought multiple lawsuits related to the facts and claims in this lawsuit and is the predecessor in interest to this lawsuit.

with ridges all around it and a whimsical sculpted piggy face top. The piggy face, individually, is a copyrighted sculpture (attached as Exhibit 3C to the Complaint) (“Plaintiff’s Work”). The Bacon Bin® grease holder is sold in online marketplaces such as Amazon.com and in brick-and-mortar stores.

4. Plaintiff is also the owner of various published photographs, videos, artwork, creative text, and product instructions appearing on talismandesigns.com and the Plaintiff’s store on Amazon.com (as illustrated in Exhibit 2 attached to the Complaint).

5. Defendants, by operating Internet based e-commerce stores, and fully interactive, commercial Internet websites operating under Defendants’ respective seller identities set forth on Schedule “A” hereto (the “Seller IDs”), have advertised, promoted, sold, and offered for sale goods featuring, displaying, and/or using Plaintiff’s Work without authorization and Plaintiff has determined the products that each Defendant is offering for sale are not genuine products.

6. Through the e-commerce marketplace platform, Plaintiff accessed all of the e-commerce stores operating under Defendants’ Seller IDs and captured the Defendants’ listings at issue on the e-commerce stores. At the conclusion of the process, the detailed webpages and photographs were inspected by Plaintiff’s representative who confirmed that each Defendant is featuring, displaying, and/or using Plaintiff’s Work without authorization and the products that each Defendant is offering for sale are not genuine products.

7. Plaintiff has a strong probability of proving at trial that consumers are likely to be confused by Defendants’ advertisement, promotion, sale, offer for sale, or distribution of products with unauthorized and unlicensed uses of Plaintiff’s Work, in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 501(a).

8. Plaintiff and consumers are likely to suffer immediate and irreparable losses, damages and injuries before Defendants can be heard in opposition, unless Plaintiff's Application for *ex parte* relief is granted. There is good cause to believe that the unauthorized and unlicensed use of Plaintiff's Work will continue in the marketplace; that consumers are likely to be misled, confused, and disappointed by the quality of the products so advertised; and that Plaintiff may suffer loss of sales for its genuine products and an unnatural erosion of the legitimate marketplace in which it operates. There is also good cause to believe that if Plaintiff proceeds on notice to Defendants of this Application, Defendants can easily and quickly change the ownership or modify e-commerce store account data and content, change payment accounts, redirect consumer traffic to other seller identification names, and transfer assets and ownership of Seller IDs, thereby thwarting Plaintiff's ability to obtain meaningful relief. As other courts have recognized, proceedings against those who deliberately traffic in infringing merchandise are often useless if notice is given to the adverse party.

9. The balance of potential harm to Defendants of being prevented from continuing to profit from their illegal and infringing activities if a temporary restraining order is issued is far outweighed by the potential harm to Plaintiff, its reputation, and its goodwill as a manufacturer and distributor of quality products, if such relief is not issued.

10. The public interest favors issuance of the temporary restraining order in order to protect Plaintiff's interests and protect the public from being injured, deceived and defrauded by the passing off of Defendants substandard goods as Plaintiff's genuine goods.

11. Under Pennsylvania law this Court may issue a prejudgment asset restraint where Plaintiff's complaint asserts a claim for money damages.² This Court also has the inherent authority to issue a prejudgment asset restraint when Plaintiff's complaint seeks relief in equity. According to the Copyright Act, 17 U.S. Code § 504, Plaintiff seeks, among other relief, that Defendants account for and pay to Plaintiff its actual damages and all profits realized by Defendants or statutory damages, by reason of Defendants' unlawful acts. Therefore, this Court has the authority to grant Plaintiff's request for a prejudgment asset freeze to preserve the relief sought by Plaintiff and preserve the Defendants' ability to at least partially satisfy a judgment.

12. Similarly, if Defendants are given notice of the Application, they are likely to destroy, move, hide or otherwise make inaccessible to Plaintiff the records and documents relating to Defendants' illegal and infringing activities. Therefore, Plaintiff has good cause to be granted expedited discovery.

ORDER

Based on the foregoing findings of fact and conclusions of law, Plaintiff's Application is hereby **GRANTED** as follows (the "Order"):

² Under Pennsylvania law, pre-judgment restraints are permitted as against all defendants. *Walter v. Stacey*, 837 A.2d 1205 (Pa. Super. 2003) (injunction entered restraining assets in action seeking damages for a wrongful death); *Hoxworth v. Blinder, Robinson & Co., Inc.*, 903 F.2d 186 (3d Cir. 1990) (affirming injunction entered restraining assets in class action lawsuit). Pre-judgment restraints are appropriate against these U.S. sellers under *Walter* and *Hoxworth*. See also, *Broadway v. Colorflowers, et al.*, 22-cv-510-JNR (W.D. Pa., filed April 12, 2022); *Doggie Dental Inc. et al. v. AvantiDigital et al.*, 21-cv-565-MRH (W.D. Pa., filed April 29, 2021) and *Doggie Dental Inc. et al. v. CDOoffice et al.*, 21-cv-271-MRH (W.D. Pa., filed February 25, 2021). *Doggie Dental Inc. v. Go Well*, No. 19-cv-1282 (W.D. Pa. Oct. 11, 2019) (Hornak, J.) (sellers on amazon.com); *Doggie Dental Inc. v. Worthbuyer*, No. 19-cv-1283 (W.D. Pa. Oct. 11, 2019) (Hornak, J.) (sellers on ebay.com); *Doggie Dental Inc. v. Max_Buy*, No. 19-cv-746 (W.D. Pa. June 27, 2019) (Hornak, J.) (sellers on ebay.com); *Doggie Dental Inc. v. Anywill*, No. 19-cv-682 (W.D. Pa. June 13, 2019) (Hornak, J.) (sellers on amazon.com); *Airigan Solutions, LLC v. Abigail*, No. 19-cv-503 (May 28, 2019) (Fischer, J.) (sellers on amazon.com); *Airigan Solutions, LLC v. Babymove*, No. 19-cv-166 (W.D. Pa. Feb. 14, 2019) (Fischer, J.) (sellers on amazon.com); *Airigan Solutions, LLC v. Artifacts_Selling*, No. 18-cv-1462 (W.D. Pa. Oct. 31, 2018) (Fischer, J.) (sellers on ebay.com and aliexpress.com).

I. Temporary Restraining Order

A. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, as sufficient cause has been shown, that each Defendant, its officers, directors, employees, agents, subsidiaries, distributors, and all persons in active concert or participation with any Defendant having notice of this Order are hereby restrained as follows:

- (1) from (a) their unauthorized and unlicensed use of Plaintiff's Work in connection with the distribution, marketing, advertising, offering for sale, or sale of any products; and (b) shipping, delivering, holding for sale, transferring or otherwise moving, storing, distributing, returning, or otherwise disposing of, in any manner products which use Plaintiff's Work;
- (2) from secreting, concealing, destroying, altering, selling off, transferring or otherwise disposing of and/or dealing with any computer files, data, business records, documents or any other records or evidence relating to their User Accounts,³ Merchant Storefronts⁴ or any money, securities or other property or assets of Defendants (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Defendants' Assets");
- (3) effecting assignments or transfers, forming new entities or associations, or creating and/or utilizing any other platform, User Account, Merchant Storefront or any other means of importation, exportation, advertising, marketing, promotion, distribution, and/or

³ As defined in the Application, a "User Account" is, as defined in the Complaint, any and all accounts with online marketplace platform(s) Amazon.com, eBay.com, Aliexpress.com, Walmart.com, and wish.com as well as any and all as yet undiscovered accounts with additional online marketplace platforms held by or associated with Defendants, their respective officers, employees, agents, servants and all other persons in active concert with any of them.

⁴ As defined in the Application, a "Merchant Storefront" is any and all User Accounts through which Defendants, their respective officers, employees, agents, servants and all persons in active concert or participation with any of them operate storefronts to manufacture, import, export, advertise, market, promote, distribute, display, offer for sale, sell and/or otherwise deal in products which are held by or associated with Defendants, their respective officers, employees, agents, servants and all persons in active concert or participation with any of them.

display for the purposes of circumventing or otherwise avoiding the prohibitions set forth in this Order;

(4) each Defendant, its officers, directors, employees, agents, subsidiaries, distributors, and all persons in active concert or participation with any Defendant having notice of this Order shall immediately discontinue use of the Plaintiff's Work within metatags or other markers within website source code, from use on any web page (including as the title of any product listing), from any advertising links to other websites, from search engines' databases or cache memory, and any other form of use such terms or works which is visible to a computer user or serves to direct computer searches to Internet based e-commerce stores owned, or operated by each Defendant, including the Merchant Storefronts operating under the Seller IDs;

(5) each Defendant shall not transfer ownership of the User Accounts or Merchant Storefronts associated with the Seller IDs;

(6) each Defendant shall preserve copies of all computer files relating to the use of any User Accounts and/or Merchant Storefronts under the Seller IDs and shall take steps necessary to retrieve computer files relating to the use of the User Accounts and/or Merchant Storefronts under their Seller IDs that may be deleted before the entry of this Order;

(7) upon receipt of notice of this Order, Defendants and all financial institutions, payment processors, banks, escrow services, money transmitters, or marketplace platforms, including but not limited to Alibaba.com US LLC d/b/a Aliexpress.com ("AliExpress"), Amazon.com, Inc. and its affiliate, Amazon Services LLC d/b/a Amazon.com ("Amazon"), eBay, Inc. d/b/a eBay.com ("eBay"), Walmart.com USA LLC and Walmart, Inc. ("Walmart"), and Context Logic, Inc d/b/a wish.com ("Wish") ("Third

Party Service Provider(s)”) and Alipay US Inc. d/b/a Alipay.com (“Alipay”), Amazon Payments, Inc. d/b/a pay.amazon.com, and PayPal, Inc. d/b/a paypal.com (“PayPal”), Walmart d/b/a Walmart Pay (“Financial Institution(s)”), and their related companies and affiliates, shall immediately identify and restrain all funds, as opposed to ongoing account activity, in or which are hereafter transmitted into the accounts related to the Defendants as identified on Schedule “A” hereto, as well as all funds in or which are transmitted into (i) any other accounts of the same customer(s); (ii) any other accounts which transfer funds into the same financial institution account(s), and/or any of the other accounts subject to this Order; and (iii) any other accounts tied to or used by any of the Seller IDs identified on Schedule “A” hereto;⁵

(8) upon receipt of notice of this Order, Defendants and all financial institutions, payment processors, banks, escrow services, money transmitters, or marketplace platforms, including but not limited to the Third Party Service Provider(s) and the Financial Institution(s), shall immediately divert to a holding account for the trust of the Court all funds in or which are hereafter transmitted into all accounts related to Defendants identified in Schedule “A” hereto, and associated payment accounts, and any other accounts for the same customer(s) as well as any other accounts which transfer funds into the same financial institution account(s) as any other accounts subject to this Order;

(9) The Third-Party Service Provider(s) and Financial Institution(s) shall further, within five (5) business days of receiving this Order, provide Plaintiff’s counsel with all data that details (i) an accounting of the total funds restrained and identifies the financial

⁵ This Order contemplates that discovery may reveal that Defendants may have other user accounts operated by other Third-Party Service Providers and Financial Institutions and that the additionally discovered Third Party Service Providers and Financial Institutions, once identified and provided with notice, shall also be subject to the discovery, restraints and injunctions set forth in this Order.

account(s) which the restrained funds are related to, and (ii) the account transactions related to all funds transmitted into financial account(s) which have been restrained. Such restraining of the funds and the disclosure of the related financial institution account information shall be made without notice to the account holders, until after those accounts are restrained. No funds restrained by this Order shall be transferred or surrendered by any Third-Party Service Provider or Financial Institution for any purpose (other than pursuant to a chargeback made pursuant to that Third Party Service Provider or Financial Institution's security interest in the funds) without express authorization of this Court;

(10) Upon Plaintiff's request, any Internet marketplace who is provided with notice of this Order, including but not limited to the Third-Party Service Provider(s) and Financial Institution(s), shall immediately cease fulfillment of and sequester Defendants' inventory assets corresponding to the Seller IDs identified on Schedule "A" hereto in its inventory, possession, custody, or control, and hold such goods in trust for the Court during pendency of this action;

(11) this Order shall apply to the Seller IDs, associated Accounts and Merchant Storefronts, and any other seller identification names, Accounts or Merchant Storefronts, Third Party Service Provider or Financial Institution accounts which are being used by Defendants for the purpose of infringing the Plaintiff's' Work;

(12) Defendants and all financial institutions, payment processors, banks, escrow services, money transmitters, or marketplace platforms, including but not limited to the Third-Party Service Provider(s) and the Financial Institution(s), subject to this Order may petition the Court to modify the asset restraint set out in this Order; and

(13) this Order shall remain in effect until the date for the hearing to show cause why a preliminary injunction should not be issued as set forth below, or until such further dates as set by the Court or stipulated by the parties.

B. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, as sufficient cause has been shown, that upon Plaintiff's request, any Internet marketplace who is provided with notice of this Order, including but not limited to the Third-Party Service Providers and Financial Institutions, is hereby restrained and enjoined from engaging in any of the following acts or omissions pending the hearing and determination of Plaintiff's Application for a preliminary injunction, or until further order of the Court:

(1) secreting, concealing, transferring, disposing of, withdrawing, encumbering or paying Defendants' Assets from or to financial accounts associated with or utilized by any Defendant or any Defendant's User Accounts or Merchant Storefront(s) (whether said account is located in the U.S. or abroad) ("Defendants' Financial Accounts") until further ordered by this Court; and

(2) within (5) days after receiving notice of this Order, providing services to Defendants, Defendants' User Accounts and Defendants' Merchant Storefronts, including, without limitation, continued operation of Defendants' User Accounts and Merchant Storefronts, and any other listings linked to the same sellers or linked to any other alias seller identification names being used and/or controlled by Defendants.

C. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, as the Plaintiff has established that the following product as pictured below is the subject of a federally registered Copyright for the Plaintiff's Pig Face Sculpture;



consequently sufficient cause has been shown, that, upon Plaintiff's request, within no later than five (5) calendar days of Plaintiff's request: all online marketplaces, including but not limited to, Amazon.com, ebay.com, aliexpress.com, Walmart.com, and wish.com, shall upon receipt of this Order, suspend, block, tombstone, and/or delete any and any product listings identified by the Plaintiff as either identical or substantially similar, to the Pig Face Sculpture, whether sold by the Defendant or other persons or entities.

II. Order to Show Cause Why a Preliminary Injunction Should Not Issue and Service of Order

A. Defendants are hereby ORDERED to show cause before this Court in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania, the U.S. Courthouse, **by ZoomGov** on the ___ day of _____ at _____ .m. or at such other time that this Court deems appropriate, why a preliminary injunction, pursuant to FRCP 65(a), should not issue.

Defendants are on notice that failure to appear at the hearing may result in the imposition of a preliminary injunction against them.

B. Opposing papers, if any, shall be filed electronically with the Court and served on Plaintiff's counsel by delivering copies thereof to the office of Ference & Associates LLC at 409

Broad Street, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15143 before _____, 2023. Plaintiff shall file any Reply papers on or before _____, 2023.

C. After Plaintiff's counsel has received confirmation from the Third Party Service Providers and Financial Institutions or otherwise, regarding the restraint of funds directed herein, Plaintiff shall serve copies of the Complaint, the Application, this Order, and any Discovery on each Defendant via their corresponding email/online contact form provided on the Internet based e-commerce stores operating under the respective Seller IDs, or by providing a copy of this order by e-mail to the marketplace platform, which in turn notifies each Defendant of the Order, or by other means reasonably calculated to give notice which is permitted by the Court. In addition, Plaintiff shall post copies of the Complaint, Application, this Order, any Discovery, and all other pleadings and documents filed in this action on a website designated by Plaintiff,⁶ and shall provide the website address to Defendants via e-mail/online contact form, and such notice so given shall be deemed good and sufficient service thereof. Plaintiff shall continue to provide notice of these proceedings and copies of the documents on file in this matter to Defendants by regularly updating the website designated by Plaintiff or by other means reasonably calculated to give notice which is permitted by the Court.

III. Order Authorizing Expedited Discovery

A. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, as sufficient cause has been shown, that:

(1) Plaintiff may propound interrogatories pursuant to Rules 26 and 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and Defendants, their respective officers, employees, agents, servants

⁶ Rule 65 has been interpreted to require that a party have notice of the motion and hearing; perfecting service on a defendant is not a prerequisite to the entry of a preliminary injunction order. *Pate v. Gov't of the Virgin Islands*, 2015 WL 1937701 n.9 (VI Sup. Ct. April 17, 2015); *Corrigan Dispatch Co. v. Casa Guzman, S.A.*, 569 F.2d 300, 302 (5th Cir. 1978).

and attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of this Order, shall provide written responses under oath to such interrogatories within fourteen (14) days of service to Plaintiff's counsel.

(2) Plaintiff may serve requests for the production of documents pursuant to FRCP 26 and 34, and Defendants, their respective officers, employees, agents, servants and attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of this Order, shall produce all documents responsive to such requests within fourteen (14) days of service to Plaintiff's counsel.

(3) Plaintiff may serve requests for admissions pursuant to FRCP 26 and 36, and Defendants, their respective officers, employees, agents, servants and attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of this Order, shall provide written responses under oath to such requests within fourteen (14) days of service to Plaintiff's counsel.

B. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, as sufficient cause has been shown, that within fourteen (14) days of receiving actual notice of this Order, Defendants and all financial institutions, payment processors, banks, escrow services, money transmitters, or marketplace platforms, including but not limited to the Third Party Service Provider(s) and the Financial Institution(s), shall provide to Plaintiff's counsel all documents and records in their possession, custody or control (whether located in the U.S. or abroad) relating to Defendants' User Accounts and Defendants' Merchant Storefronts, including, but not limited to, documents and records relating to:

(1) any and all User Accounts and Defendants' Merchant Storefronts and account details, including, without limitation, identifying information and account numbers for any and

all User Accounts and Defendants' Merchant Storefronts that Defendants have ever had and/or currently maintain with the respective Third-Party Service Provider;

- (2) the identities, location and contact information, including any and all e-mail addresses of Defendants that were not previously provided;
- (3) the Defendants' methods of payment, methods for accepting payment and any and all financial information, including, but not limited to, information associated with Defendants' User Accounts and Defendants' Merchant Storefronts, a full accounting of Defendants' sales history and listing history under such accounts and Defendants' Financial Accounts associated with Defendants' User Accounts and Defendants' Merchant Storefronts; and
- (4) Defendants' unauthorized and unlicensed use of Plaintiff's Work in connection with the distribution, marketing, advertising, offering for sale, or sale of any products, and any products which use Plaintiff's Work.

V. Security Bond

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff shall place security (corporate surety bond, cash, certified check, or attorney's check) in the amount of \$ _____ Dollars (_____) with the Court, which amount is determined adequate for the payment of any damages any person may be entitled to recover as a result of an improper or wrongful restraint ordered hereunder.

VI. Summons

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall issue a single original summons in the name of “MAPLE LEAVES and all other Defendants identified in the Complaint” that will apply to all Defendants.

SO ORDERED.

SIGNED this ____ day of April, 2023, at _____ .m.
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Schedule “A”
Defendants with Store Name and Seller ID

Defendant No.	Defendant Store/Name	Seller ID
1	Maple leaves	101189006
2	GZSMUXINCo.ltd	101294562
3	Amisha24	A5ROIGUNT3TM5
4	gengguoqing	AKRD14LIX97YO
5	Hagrid's Toolbox	A1V386H9WOR1CB
6	Hcdsdf	A3J99Q9NTLUNO6
7	hefeiraowenzoushangmaoyouxiangongsi	A2M14SF02PV6VO
8	HUAZHIMU JP	A12N5VF52720CY
9	huocuowenhuachuanmeiyouxiangongsi	AJWVGIMPL7LGA
10	JiaChong	A2RLCODOXA3WJC
11	jiangyuzhuo	A37SXHZM7B2Z3Y
12	Kamehame	A2DJ2YGIO50FAT
13	keji-shop	AYS5T9G3GKUDI
14	LiuAnJinZuoXinXiKeJiYouXianGongSi	A9FYGA0MEYH41
15	LOhgd	A128JD1HCS7T00
16	LuJieKe	A371LNTNVQW7WQ
17	malishop	A1VGI2QEDZFB9B
18	mianxianyihejinbaihuodian	ATIKEOW1UO9IC
19	nDFYk	A2P6647ZG5HGL4
20	New Religion	AYJ55WZO43GQM
21	poijmn	A2F3KT7ODW7NBE
22	QMFDK	A1CAO9BNYYN5B5
23	SENJUE1205US	A2BE7GKGO5WS5Q
24	Shegoal	A1RQBBB2CYPGX3
25	SHOPANTS	A70JLRWVO2Q97
26	TAOYANGJIN	A3PA4BK4HZ4EMI
27	wenjuandedian	A3O5LHP10PYXPR
28	WuDWoAiWoJia	A1BOCOMN1OCJ4Z
29	XiangYueShangMao	A3T88YIE6O1GBR
30	YEGHSS	A2N0AKE47HFXQC
31	YuXianZhanWangShangMaoZhongXin	A18OGFT0PPM1B8
32	zhuleStore	A307IK46Y0UQIP
33	ZiBoYueYingDianZiShangWuFuWuBu	A1F99CIVU6X43S
34	Zinkin Store	A27JFJDHIXBWN2

Defendant No.	Defendant Store/Name	Seller ID
35	basyo99	285192500815, 285192500810
36	brijeskishop	193841367261
37	chck-stores	394526294811
38	chja-7217	304768071748
39	clwij0	394450407024
40	dvr_14_8	404222392754
41	jokotingkir-ngombedawet	295413065815
42	mellberl0	354659404988 354659404970
43	nitesda	374576916424
44	oferizhaki	144880277096
45	patelyaseen	144975721095
46	priyantha_web_store	354480070884
47	rajasat-0	385479098923
48	riwar_6162	404206729043
49	val548320	394463815007
50	yechiel_store5	204287530381